

2016 JAN -5 A 11:12

STATE OF MARYLAND

\* IN THE  
\* CIRCUIT COURT FOR  
\* BALTIMORE CITY

v.

CAESAR GOODSON

\* Case No. 115141032

\* \* \* \* \*

**ORDER**

On December 29, 2015, the Defendant filed the Defendant's Supplemental Memorandum in Further Support of His Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing. The Defendant also filed a Motion to Seal Defendant's Supplemental Memorandum in Further Support of His Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing.

On January 4, 2016, the State filed the State's Response to Defendant's Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing. The State also filed the State's Motion to Seal: (1) State's Response to Defendant's Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing; (2) State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike the State's Expert Stanford O'Neill Franklin and Request for Hearing; and (3) State's Motion *in limine* to Preclude as Irrelevant Certain Evidence Contained in the Defendant's December 24, 2015, Supplemental Disclosure About An Unrelated Arrest That Occurred on May 3, 2015.

This Court has considered the motions by both parties and finds that due to the nature of the material contained within the supplemental memorandum and response, it is appropriate to seal these motions. For this reason, it is this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2016 hereby

**ORDERED** that the Defendant's Motion to Seal Defendant's Supplemental Memorandum in Further Support of His Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing is **GRANTED**, and further

**ORDERED** that the Defendant's Motion to Seal Defendant's Supplemental Memorandum in Further Support of His Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing be **SEALED**, and further

**ORDERED** that the Defendant's Supplemental Memorandum in Further Support of His Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing be **SEALED**, and further

**ORDERED** that the State's Motion to Seal: (1) State's Response to Defendant's Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing; (2) State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike the State's Expert Stanford O'Neill Franklin and Request for Hearing; and (3) State's Motion *in limine* to Preclude as Irrelevant Certain Evidence Contained in the Defendant's December 24, 2015, Supplemental Disclosure About An Unrelated Arrest That Occurred on May 3, 2015 is **GRANTED IN PART** with regards to (1) and **DENIED IN PART** with regards to (2) and (3), and further

**ORDERED** that the State's Motion to Seal: (1) State's Response to Defendant's Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing; (2) State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike the State's Expert Stanford O'Neill Franklin and Request for Hearing; and (3) State's Motion *in limine* to Preclude as Irrelevant Certain Evidence Contained in the Defendant's December 24, 2015, Supplemental Disclosure About An Unrelated Arrest That Occurred on May 3, 2015 be **SEALED**, and further

**ORDERED** that the State's Response to Defendant's Second Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Motion for Removal and Request for Hearing be **SEALED**, and further

**ORDERED** that this Order be **SEALED**.

**BARRY G. WILLIAMS**

**JUDGE**

**THE JUDGES SIGNATURE APPEARS  
ON THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT ONLY**

**BARRY G. WILLIAMS  
JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT FOR  
BALTIMORE CITY**

Clerk, please mail copies to the following:

Matthew Fraling, Attorney for Caesar Goodson

Janice Bledsoe, Deputy State's Attorney, Office of the State's Attorney for Baltimore City