

STATE OF MARYLAND

Plaintiff

v.

ALICIA WHITE

Defendant

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

IN THE  
CIRCUIT COURT  
FOR  
BALTIMORE CITY  
Case No. 115141036

RECEIVED FOR RECORD  
CIRCUIT COURT FOR  
BALTIMORE CITY  
2016 FEB - 1 P 2:30  
CRIMINAL DIVISION

\* \* \* \* \*  
**DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO STATE'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING  
THE DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPERTS AND  
REQUEST FOR HEARING**

Defendant Sergeant Alicia White, through her counsel, submits this response to the State's Motion in Limine Regarding the Defendant's Proposed Law Enforcement Expert Testimony and Request for Hearing and states in support thereof:

1. The Defendant, in her Initial Disclosures, as well as in the information provided in paragraph two of this motion, has given more than adequate basis for all of the listed law enforcement experts basis of opinion and summary of the opinions in which they are expected to testify in accordance with Maryland Rule 4-263. The State's Motion in Limine Regarding the Defendant's Proposed Law Enforcement Experts is nothing more than a fishing expedition intended to waste the Court's time with unnecessary pleadings and hearings. Any objections the State may have regarding the Defendant's experts would be properly addressed during a voir dire process during the expert's testimony. Any pretrial hearing regarding this matter would be yet another endeavor by the State to further delay the Defendant's trial.

