RECEIVED FOR RECORD A CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY

STATE OF MARYLAND

* CIRCUIT COURT FOR CRIMINAL DIVISION BALTIMORE CITY

* CASE No. 115141034

GARRETT MILLER

V.

$\frac{\text{STATE'S MOTION TO QUASH THE SUBPOENA SERVED ON ASSISTANT STATE'S}}{\text{ATTORNEY DOUG VEY}}$

Now comes the State of Maryland, by and through Marilyn J. Mosby, the State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Michael Schatzow, Chief Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Janice L. Bledsoe, Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and Matthew Pillion, Assistant State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and pursuant to Rule 4-266(c), for the reasons outlined below, moves this Court to quash a defense subpoena served on Assistant State's Attorney Doug Vey compelling him to appear and to testify at the July 27, 2016, trial of the Defendant:

- 1. On April 11, 2016, the Defendant served a subpoena on Assistant State's Attorney Doug Vey, who works in the Charging Division for the Office of the State's Attorney for Baltimore City. The subpoena, attached herein as State's Exhibit 1, compels Mr. Vey to appear and testify at the upcoming July 27, 2016, trial.
- 2. Rule 4-265 provides each party with the broad right to subpoena witnesses for trial. That right, however, is not unqualified. Rather, the right to compulsory process "is only assertable where the witnesses to be called will offer competent and material testimony." *Darby v. State*, 47 Md. App. 1, 5 (1981). Where "the testimony sought to be elicited [is] irrelevant to the issues" in the case, enforcing subpoenas would serve "no useful purpose, but instead would only [waste] the resources of the State." *Id.* at 8-9. To that end, Rule 4-266 permits a party for good cause shown to move to quash a subpoena

when justice requires protecting the person subpoenaed from "annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense." Rule 4-266(c). "[T]he Maryland Rules were, after all, established to promote—not to impede—the orderly and efficient administration of justice." *Darby*, 47 Md. App. at 8.

- 3. Assessing the requirements of justice in enforcing a subpoena, thus, turns on the relevance of the compelled testimony or documentary production. To be deemed relevant, the evidence must have a "tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence." Rule 5-401. A "consequential fact" is also called a "material proposition," and "[m]ateriality looks to the relation between the proposition for which the evidence is offered and the issues in the case." *Smith v. State*, 423 Md. 573, 590 (2011). Even when evidence is relevant, it "may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence." Rule 5-403.
- 4. Applying these principles, the subpoena that the Defendant issued to Mr. Vey unquestionably should be quashed. First, Mr. Vey has had no involvement in the investigation of this case, he is not part of the trial team, and so he could offer no relevant testimony regarding Mr. Gray's arrest and in-custody death. Second, the Defendant served the subpoena to Mr. Vey in conjunction with a subpoena duces tecum served on Mr. Vey's supervisor, Assistant State's Attorney Patrick Motsay. That subpoena compels Mr. Motsay to appear on July 27 and produce and permit inspection and copying of "[a]ll records of all cases reviewed by the SAO at CBIF from January 1, 2015, through July 1,

2015, including the charges presented, the charges declined, and the charges that were forwarded for prosecution." Inferring that Mr. Vey's testimony would be directed toward these documents or their subject-matter, evidence regarding six months of State's Attorney charging decisions would be completely irrelevant to the issues in this case. What competent and material testimony could Mr. Vey offer in this regard as to whether the Defendant violated the duties of his office or acted reasonably toward Mr. Gray? The jury will consider the reasonable-officer standard, not the reasonable-prosecutor standard. and the duties of a Baltimore police officer, not the duties of a Baltimore prosecutor. Moreover, Mr. Vey's testimony would trigger Rule 5-403's safeguards against such attempts to confuse the issues, mislead the jury, and waste time. Indeed, in addition to subpoening Mr. Vey and Mr. Motsay, the Defendant has inexplicably subpoening five other Assistant State's Attorneys in the Charging Division, along with the chief of the Misdemeanor Jury Trial Division, making clear his intent to attempt the improper "defense ploy [] of trying the prosecutor." Johnson v. State, 23 Md. App. 131, 142 (1974). The subpoena served on Mr. Vey, in short, is inappropriate, and its enforcement would frustrate the purpose of Rule 4-265.

Wherefore, the State requests that this Court quash the subpoena duces tecum issued to Assistant State's Attorney Doug Vey for the July 27, 2016, trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Michael Schatzow (#717876)
Chief Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6011 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
mschatzow@stattorney.org

Janice/L./Bledsoe (#68776)
Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6012 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
jbledsoe@stattorney.org

Matthew Pillion (#653491)
Assistant State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6045 (telephone)
(443) 984-6252 (facsimile)
mpillion@stattorney.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of April, 2016, a copy of the foregoing State's Motion to Quash was delivered by hand and email to the Defendant's counsel at:

Catherine Flynn
Brandon Mead
Mead, Flynn & Gray, P.A.
One North Charles Street, Suite 2470
Baltimore, MD 21201
(410) 727-6400
cflynn@meadandflynn.com
Attorney for Officer Garrett Miller

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Janice L. Bledsoe (#68776)

Deputy State's Attorney

120 East Baltimore Street The SunTrust Bank Building

Baltimore, Maryland 21202

(443) 984-6012 (telephone)

(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)

jbledsoe@stattorney.org

STATE OF MARYLAND						*		IN	IN THE					
						*		CII	RCUIT (COURT	FOR			
	v.					*		BA	LTIMO	RE CIT	Y			
						*	Y.							
GAR	RETT	MILLE	R			*		CA	CASE No. 115141034					
						*								
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
					<u>C</u>	<u>ORDER</u>						e		
	Havi	ng cons	idered t	he State	e's Mot	ion to Q	uash tl	ne Sub	poena S	erved or	n Assist	ant State's		
Atto	rney D	oug Ve	y and	there b	eing g	ood cau	se for	the 1	Motion,	it is th	uis	_ day of		
		, 2016,	by the C	Circuit (Court fo	or Baltim	ore Ci	ty						
	ORI	ERED	that the	e subpo	ena se	rved on	Assist	ant St	ate's At	torney I	Doug V	ey for the		
July	27, 201	6, trial b	efore th	nis Cour	rt be an	d hereby	is Q U	JASHI	ED.					
									~~					
								Jud	_	ent for Da	ltimara	City		
								CIT	cuit Cou	rt for Ba	milliore	City		

CC-004 (Rev. 07/01/2015)

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY 100 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 Phone: (410) 333-3722 Maryland Relay call: 711

100	Case N	No. 1151410	34	
STATE OF MARYLAND		7.17	11 11 11 51	
or				
	vs. Garrett	Miller		
Plaintiff	Defendant			
TO: ASA Doug Vey		Issue Da	te: 04/05/2016	
Name			Deadline: 60 days a	ifter Issue Date.
Office of the State's Attorney	SUBPOENA			
Address 120 East Baltimore Street				
Address 2 Baltimore, Maryland 21202				
City, County, State, Zip				
City, County, State, Zip				
You are hereby compelled to appear at a court proceeding	ng deposition :	at the follow	wing location:	
Circuit Court for Baltimore City - 111 N. Calvert Street Rm. 2				
Address of court or other location	On July 27,		at 9:30	☑ a.m. or ☐p.m.
Baltimore, Maryland 21202		Date	Time	
City, State, Zip	THE PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRA			
_				
☑ To testify in the above case, and/or				
To produce the following documents, items, and information	ation, not privileg	ed:		
☐ To produce, permit inspection and copying of the follow	ving documents or	other tangi	ible items:	
				and the second s
		Marked All of The City City And Property Company Company		
Garrett Miller re	equested issuance	of this subp	ooena. Questions s	should be referred to
Catherine Flynn	1 North (Charles Stree	t, Suite 2470	
Name	Address			
(410) 727-6400 or (410) 440-0462		e, Maryland	21201	
Phone	City, State,	Zip		
Special Message: Please contact counsel to confirm the exact	t date and time that	your testimo	ony will be needed.	
☐ If this subpoena compels the production of financial info	ormation or infor	motion doni		1
requestor of this subpoena hereby certifies having taken	all necessary star	ns to comply	veu from imancia	ments of Md Code
Ann., Fin. Inst. §1-304 and any other applicable law.	an necessary step	os to compi	y with the require	ments of Ma. Code
☐ If this subpoena compels the production of medical reco	irds the requestor	of this suh	noena hereby cert	ifies having taken al
necessary steps to comply with the requirements of Md.	Code. Ann Hea	1th-Gen 84-	306 and any other	r applicable law
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	in comy	500 and any other	п пррпоцого гам.
James Hall	avinia G	G. Alexande	er. Clerk	
family vice	Circuit Co	ourt for Ba	ltimore City	
NOTICE:				
1. YOU ARE LIABLE TO BODY ATTACHMENT AND/OR F	FINE FOR FAILUR	RE TO OBEY	THIS SURPOFN	Δ
2. This subpoena is effective for the date and time stated and any	y subsequent dates a	as directed by	v the court.	
3. If this subpoena is for attendance at a deposition and the party	served is an organi	ization, notic	e is hereby given th	at the organization
must designate one or more persons who will testify on its bel	half, pursuant to Ru	le 2-412(d).		, J
4. Serving or attempting to serve a subpoena more than 60 days			ibited.	
	N OF SERVICE			
I certify that I delivered the original of this Subpoena to the			111	100
on the following date: by the foll	iowing method (sp	pecified as r	required by Rule 2	2-126):
				STATE'S EXHIBIT
			Signature	EAMIDIT

Printed Name