RECEIVED FOR RECORD ... CIRCUIT COURT FOR SALTIMORE CITY

STATE OF MARYLAND

ZOID APR 18 P INCHE

CINCUIT COURT FOR

CINCUIT COURT FOR

CASE No. 115141034

GARRETT MILLER

v.

STATE'S MOTION TO QUASH THE SUBPOENA SERVED ON ASSISTANT STATE'S ATTORNEY PAUL O'CONNOR

Now comes the State of Maryland, by and through Marilyn J. Mosby, the State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Michael Schatzow, Chief Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Janice L. Bledsoe, Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and Matthew Pillion, Assistant State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and pursuant to Rule 4-266(c), for the reasons outlined below, moves this Court to quash a defense subpoena served on Assistant State's Attorney Paul O'Connor compelling him to appear and to testify at the July 27, 2016, trial of the Defendant:

- 1. On April 11, 2016, the Defendant served a subpoena on Assistant State's Attorney Paul O'Connor, who is the chief of the District Court Division for the Office of the State's Attorney for Baltimore City. The subpoena, attached herein as State's Exhibit 1, compels Mr. O'Connor to appear and testify at the upcoming July 27, 2016, trial.
- 2. Rule 4-265 provides each party with the broad right to subpoena witnesses for trial. That right, however, is not unqualified. Rather, the right to compulsory process "is only assertable where the witnesses to be called will offer competent and material testimony." *Darby v. State*, 47 Md. App. 1, 5 (1981). Where "the testimony sought to be elicited [is] irrelevant to the issues" in the case, enforcing subpoenas would serve "no useful purpose, but instead would only [waste] the resources of the State." *Id.* at 8-9. To that end, Rule 4-266 permits a party for good cause shown to move to quash a subpoena

when justice requires protecting the person subpoenaed from "annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense." Rule 4-266(c). "[T]he Maryland Rules were, after all, established to promote—not to impede—the orderly and efficient administration of justice." *Darby*, 47 Md. App. at 8.

- 3. Assessing the requirements of justice in enforcing a subpoena, thus, turns on the relevance of the compelled testimony or documentary production. To be deemed relevant, the evidence must have a "tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence." Rule 5-401. A "consequential fact" is also called a "material proposition," and "[m]ateriality looks to the relation between the proposition for which the evidence is offered and the issues in the case." *Smith v. State*, 423 Md. 573, 590 (2011). Even when evidence is relevant, it "may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence." Rule 5-403.
- 4. Applying these principles, the subpoena that the Defendant issued to Mr. O'Connor unquestionably should be quashed. First, Mr. O'Connor has had no involvement in the investigation of this case, he is not part of the trial team, and so he could offer no relevant testimony regarding Mr. Gray's arrest and in-custody death. Second, the Defendant served the subpoena to Mr. O'Connor in conjunction with a subpoena duces tecum served on Mr. O'Connor's fellow division chief, Assistant State's Attorney Patrick Motsay, who heads the Office's Charging Division. That subpoena compels Mr. Motsay to appear on July 27 and produce and permit inspection and copying

of "[a]ll records of all cases reviewed by the SAO at CBIF from January 1, 2015, through July 1, 2015, including the charges presented, the charges declined, and the charges that were forwarded for prosecution." Inferring that Mr. O'Connor's testimony would be directed toward these documents or their subject-matter, evidence regarding six months of State's Attorney charging decisions would be completely irrelevant to the issues in this case. What competent and material testimony could Mr. O'Connor offer in this regard as to whether the Defendant violated the duties of his office or acted reasonably toward Mr. The jury will consider the reasonable-officer standard, not the reasonableprosecutor standard, and the duties of a Baltimore police officer, not the duties of a Baltimore prosecutor. Moreover, Mr. O'Connor's testimony would trigger Rule 5-403's safeguards against such attempts to confuse the issues, mislead the jury, and waste time. Indeed, in addition to subpoening Mr. O'Connor and Mr. Motsay, the Defendant has subpoenaed six Assistant State's Attorneys who also work in the Charging Division, making clear his intent to attempt the improper "defense ploy [] of trying the prosecutor." Johnson v. State, 23 Md. App. 131, 142 (1974). The subpoena served on Mr. O'Connor, in short, is inappropriate, and its enforcement would frustrate the purpose of Rule 4-265.

Wherefore, the State requests that this Court quash the subpoena duces tecum issued to Assistant State's Attorney Paul O'Connor for the July 27, 2016, trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Michael Schatzow (#717876)
Chief Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6011 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
mschatzow@stattorney.org

Janice L. Bledsoe (#68776)
Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6012 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
jbledsoe@stattorney.org

Matthew Pillion (#653491)
Assistant State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6045 (telephone)
(443) 984-6252 (facsimile)
mpillion@stattorney.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of April, 2016, a copy of the foregoing State's Motion to Quash was delivered by hand and email to the Defendant's counsel at:

Catherine Flynn
Brandon Mead
Mead, Flynn & Gray, P.A.
One North Charles Street, Suite 2470
Baltimore, MD 21201
(410) 727-6400
cflynn@meadandflynn.com
Attorney for Officer Garrett Miller

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Janice V. Bledsoe (#68776) Deputy State's Attorney

120 East Baltimore Street

The SunTrust Bank Building

Baltimore, Maryland 21202

(443) 984-6012 (telephone)

(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)

jbledsoe@stattorney.org

STA	ATE OF	MARY	LAND			*		IN T	HE				
						*		CIR	CUIT (COURT	FOR		
	v.					*		BAL	TIMO	RE CIT	Y		
						*							
GA	RRETT	MILLE	R			*		CAS	E No.	1151410)34		
			0			*							
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
					<u>C</u>	ORDER	2						
	Havi	ng cons	sidered	the State	e's Mot	ion to Ç	Quash t	he Subp	oena S	erved on	Assista	ant State'	S
Atto	rney Pa	ul O'C	onnor a	and there	e being	g good	cause	for the	Motion	, it is tl	nis	day o	f
		, 2016,	by the (Circuit C	Court fo	r Baltin	nore Ci	ity					
	ORE	ERED	that th	e subpo	ena ser	ved on	Assist	ant State	e's Atte	orney Pa	aul O'C	Connor fo	r
the J	uly 27, 2	2016, tr	ial befo	re this C	Court be	and he	reby is	QUASI	HED.				
								Judge)				_
								Circu	it Cour	t for Bal	timore	City	

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY

100 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 Phone: (410) 333-3722 Maryland Relay call: 711

CC-004 (Rev. 07/01/2015)

m	Case No. 115141034
STATE OF MARYLAND	
or	2 de 22 il 24 de 21
	vs. Garrett Miller
Plaintiff	Defendant
TO: ASA Paul O'Conner	Issue Date: 04/05/2016
Name Office of the State's Attorney	Service Deadline: 60 days after Issue Date.
Address	SUBPOENA
120 East Baltimore Street Address 2	
Baltimore, Maryland 21202	
City, County, State, Zip	The state of the s
Vou are hereby compelled to appear at a Maguet	
	proceeding deposition at the following location:
Circuit Court for Baltimore City - 111 N. Calvert Str Address of court or other location	(III 241) 21, 2010 at 7.30 [/ia m orl in the
Baltimore, Maryland 21202	Date Time
City, State, Zip	
ξ	
▼ To testify in the above case, and/or	
\square To produce the following documents, items, an	d information, not privileged:
To produce, permit inspection and copying of the	he following documents or other tangible items:
Garrett Miller	requested issuance of this subpoena. Questions should be referred
Requested By Catherine Flynn	1 North Charles Street, Suite 2470
Name	Address
(410) 727-6400 or (410) 440-0462	Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Phone	City, State, Zip
Special Message. Please contact counsel to confirm	n the exact date and time that your testimony will be needed.
openia message.	with the few testimenty with the needed.
If this subposes someole the medical of f	
requester of this subposes hereby sertifies best	ncial information, or information derived from financial records, the
Ann., Fin. Inst. §1-304 and any other applicable	ing taken all necessary steps to comply with the requirements of Md. Code
If this suppoens compels the production of mod	Elaw.
necessary stens to comply with the requirement	lical records, the requestor of this subpoena hereby certifies having taken as of Md. Code. Ann., Health-Gen.§4-306 and any other applicable law.
necessary steps to compry with the requirement	s offmid. Code. Affil., Health-Gen. §4-306 and any other applicable law.
James Hall	Lavinia C. Alexander Claub
James Hille C	Lavinia G. Alexander, Clerk Circuit Court for Baltimore City
OTICE	Circuit Court for Baltimore City
Office:	MINISTER FOR BUILDING TO STATE OF THE STATE
This subpoena is effective for the date and time state	ND/OR FINE FOR FAILURE TO OBEY THIS SUBPOENA.
If this subpoena is for attendance at a deposition and	the party served is an organization, notice is hereby given that the organization
must designate one or more persons who will testify	on its behalf pursuant to Rule 2-412(d)
Serving or attempting to serve a subpoena more than	60 days after the date of issuance is prohibited
F	RETURN OF SERVICE
certify that I delivered the original of this Subpoet	
	y the following method (specified as required by Rule 2-126):
	coposition as required by Rule 2-120).
	E SIAIES
	EXHIBIT .
	Signature

Printed Name