RECEIVED FOR RECORD S.
CIRCUIT COURT FOR
BALTIMORE CITY

STATE OF MARYLAND

2016 APR +8 ₱ 12: 3 IN THE

\* CRIMINAL DIVISION

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY

**EDWARD NERO** 

v.

CASE No. 115141033

## STATE'S MOTION TO QUASH THE SUBPOENA SERVED ON ASSISTANT STATE'S ATTORNEY DOUG LUDWIG

Now comes the State of Maryland, by and through Marilyn J. Mosby, the State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Michael Schatzow, Chief Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Janice L. Bledsoe, Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and Matthew Pillion, Assistant State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and pursuant to Rule 4-266(c), for the reasons outlined below, moves this Court to quash a defense subpoena served on Assistant State's Attorney Doug Ludwig compelling him to appear and to testify at the May 10, 2016, trial of the Defendant:

- 1. On April 11, 2016, the Defendant served a subpoena on Assistant State's Attorney Doug Ludwig, who works in the Charging Division for the Office of the State's Attorney for Baltimore City. The subpoena, attached herein as State's Exhibit 1, compels Mr. Ludwig to appear and testify at the upcoming May 10, 2016, trial.
- 2. Rule 4-265 provides each party with the broad right to subpoena witnesses for trial. That right, however, is not unqualified. Rather, the right to compulsory process "is only assertable where the witnesses to be called will offer competent and material testimony." *Darby v. State*, 47 Md. App. 1, 5 (1981). Where "the testimony sought to be elicited [is] irrelevant to the issues" in the case, enforcing subpoenas would serve "no useful purpose, but instead would only [waste] the resources of the State." *Id.* at 8-9. To

that end, Rule 4-266 permits a party for good cause shown to move to quash a subpoena when justice requires protecting the person subpoenaed from "annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense." Rule 4-266(c). "[T]he Maryland Rules were, after all, established to promote—not to impede—the orderly and efficient administration of justice." *Darby*, 47 Md. App. at 8.

- 3. Assessing the requirements of justice in enforcing a subpoena, thus, turns on the relevance of the compelled testimony or documentary production. To be deemed relevant, the evidence must have a "tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence." Rule 5-401. A "consequential fact" is also called a "material proposition," and "[m]ateriality looks to the relation between the proposition for which the evidence is offered and the issues in the case." *Smith v. State*, 423 Md. 573, 590 (2011). Even when evidence is relevant, it "may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence." Rule 5-403.
- 4. Applying these principles, the subpoena that the Defendant issued to Mr. Ludwig unquestionably should be quashed. First, Mr. Ludwig has had no involvement in the investigation of this case, he is not part of the trial team, and so he could offer no relevant testimony regarding Mr. Gray's arrest and in-custody death. Second, the Defendant served the subpoena to Mr. Ludwig in conjunction with a subpoena duces tecum served on Mr. Ludwig's supervisor, Assistant State's Attorney Patrick Motsay. That subpoena compels Mr. Motsay to appear on May 10 and produce and permit inspection and

copying of "[a]ll records of all cases reviewed by the SAO at CBIF from January 1, 2015, through July 1, 2015, including the charges presented, the charges declined, and the charges that were forwarded for prosecution." Inferring that Mr. Ludwig's testimony would be directed toward these documents or their subject-matter, evidence regarding six months of State's Attorney charging decisions would be completely irrelevant to the issues in this case. What competent and material testimony could Mr. Ludwig offer in this regard as to whether the Defendant violated the duties of his office or acted reasonably toward Mr. Gray? The jury will consider the reasonable-officer standard, not the reasonable-prosecutor standard, and the duties of a Baltimore police officer, not the duties of a Baltimore prosecutor. Moreover, Mr. Ludwig's testimony would trigger Rule 5-403's safeguards against such attempts to confuse the issues, mislead the jury, and waste time. Indeed, in addition to subpoening Mr. Ludwig and Mr. Motsay, the Defendant has inexplicably subpoenaed five other Assistant State's Attorneys in the Charging Division, along with the chief of the Misdemeanor Jury Trial Division, making clear his intent to attempt the improper "defense ploy [ ] of trying the prosecutor." Johnson v. State, 23 Md. App. 131, 142 (1974). The subpoena served on Mr. Ludwig, in short, is inappropriate, and its enforcement would frustrate the purpose of Rule 4-265.

Wherefore, the State requests that this Court quash the subpoena duces tecum issued to Assistant State's Attorney Doug Ludwig for the May 10, 2016, trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Michael Schatzow (#717876)
Chief Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6011 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
mschatzow@stattorney.org

Janice L. Bledsoe (#68776)
Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6012 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
jbledsoe@stattorney.org

Matthew Pillion (#653491)
Assistant State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6045 (telephone)
(443) 984-6252 (facsimile)
mpillion@stattorney.org

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of April, 2016, a copy of the foregoing State's

Motion to Quash was delivered by hand and email to the Defendant's counsel at:

Marc L. Zayon
Roland Walker & Marc L. Zayon, P.A.
201 N. Charles Street, Suite 1700
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
(410) 727-3710
mzayon@walkerzayon.com
Attorney for Officer Edward Nero

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Janice/L. Bledsoe (#68776) Deputy State's Attorney 120 East Baltimore Street The SunTrust Bank Building Baltimore, Maryland 21202 (443) 984-6012 (telephone) (443) 984-6256 (facsimile)

jbledsoe@stattorney.org

STA	TE OF	MARY	LAND			*		IN T	HE				
						*		CIR	CUIT (	COURT	FOR		
	v.					*		BAI	TIMO	RE CIT	Y		
						*							
FDI	WARD	NFRO				*		CAS	F No	1151410	)33	E.	
ועט	WIND	IVLICO				*		CIT	L IVO.	1131710			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
			·			ORDER		,	,			,	
	Hav	ing cons	sidered	the Stat	e's Mot	tion to (	Quash tl	he Subp	oena S	erved or	n Assist	ant State	's
Atto	rney D	oug Lu	dwig a	nd there	e being	good o	cause f	or the	Motion	, it is tl	nis	day	of
		_, 2016,	by the	Circuit	Court fo	or Baltin	nore Ci	ity					
	OR	DERED	that th	e subpo	ena ser	ved on A	Assista	nt State	s Attor	ney Dou	ıg Ludv	wig for tl	ne
May	10, 20	16, trial	before	this Cou	ırt be ar	nd hereb	y is <b>Q</b> l	UASHE	D.				
								Judg				W. 110.	
				8				_		rt for Ba	ltimore	City	



CC-004 (Rev. 07/01/2015)

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY 100 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 Phone: (410) 333-3722 Maryland Relay call: 711

1981	Case N	No. 115141033	
STATE OF MARYLAND			
or			. 50
	vs. Edward	Nero	
Plaintiff	Defendant		
TO: ASA Doug Ludwig		Issue Date: 04/06/2016	5
Name Office of the State's Attamen		Service Deadline: 60 d	lays after Issue Date.
Office of the State's Attorney Address	SUBPOENA		
120 East Baltimore Street			
Address 2 Baltimore, Maryland 21202			
City, County, State, Zip			
You are hereby compelled to appear at a court proceedi	ng Edenosition	at the following location	
Circuit Court for Baltimore City - 111 N. Calvert Street Rm. 2			
Address of court or other location	On May 10		<b>Ø</b> a.m. or <b>□</b> p.n
Baltimore, Maryland 21202		Date T	ime
City, State, Zip	entinanta/inorativ		
☐To testify in the above case, and/or			
$\square$ To produce the following documents, items, and inform	ation, not privileg	ed:	
NO.		2	
To produce, permit inspection and copying of the follow	ving documents or	other tangible items:	
Edward Nero	equested issuance	of this subpoena. Questi	ons should be referred
Requested By	-		
Marc Zayon		h Charles Street Suite 1700	)
Name	Address		
(410) 727-3710 or (410)302-0776		e, Maryland 21201	
Phone	City, State,	Zip	
Special Message: Please contact counsel to confirm the exact	et date and time that	your testimony will be nee	eded.
-F			
7.64: 1			
If this subpoena compels the production of financial inf			
requestor of this subpoena hereby certifies having taker	n all necessary step	os to comply with the red	quirements of Md. Cod
Ann., Fin. Inst. §1-304 and any other applicable law.			
If this subpoena compels the production of medical reco	ords, the requestor	of this subpoena hereby	certifies having taken
necessary steps to comply with the requirements of Md	. Côde. Ann., Hea	lth-Gen.§4-306 and any	other applicable law.
	170	•	A I
James Hall	Lavinia G	. Alexander, Clerk	
floring palle	Circuit C	ourt for Baltimore City	j
	/ Girean G	our for Building City	t.
NOTICE:	and the same of th		
1. YOU ARE LIABLE TO BODY ATTACHMENT AND/OR			OENA.
2. This subpoena is effective for the date and time stated and an			
3. If this subpoena is for attendance at a deposition and the party			ven that the organization
must designate one or more persons who will testify on its be	half, pursuant to Ru	le 2-412(d).	
4. Serving or attempting to serve a subpoena more than 60 days			
	N OF SERVICE		
certify that I delivered the original of this Subpoena to the			
on the following date: by the fol	llowing method (s	pecified as required by F	Rule 2-126):
	_		
			STATE'S
			É EXHIBIT
	P	Signature	88

Printed Name