RECEIVED FOR RECORD **
CIRCUIT COURT FOR
BALTIMORE CITY

STATE OF MARYLAND

Z015 APR 18*P 12: 31

IN THE

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY

V.

CEMBRAL PIVISION

THE THIRD CITY

EDWARD NERO

*

CASE No. 115141033

STATE'S MOTION TO QUASH THE SUBPOENA SERVED ON ASSISTANT STATE'S ATTORNEY JESSICA PAUGH

Now comes the State of Maryland, by and through Marilyn J. Mosby, the State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Michael Schatzow, Chief Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; Janice L. Bledsoe, Deputy State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and Matthew Pillion, Assistant State's Attorney for Baltimore City; and pursuant to Rule 4-266(c), for the reasons outlined below, moves this Court to quash a defense subpoena served on Assistant State's Attorney Jessica Paugh compelling her to appear and to testify at the May 10, 2016, trial of the Defendant:

- 1. On April 11, 2016, the Defendant served a subpoena on Assistant State's Attorney Jessica Paugh, who works in the Charging Division for the Office of the State's Attorney for Baltimore City. The subpoena, attached herein as State's Exhibit 1, compels Ms. Paugh to appear and testify at the upcoming May 10, 2016, trial.
- 2. Rule 4-265 provides each party with the broad right to subpoena witnesses for trial. That right, however, is not unqualified. Rather, the right to compulsory process "is only assertable where the witnesses to be called will offer competent and material testimony." *Darby v. State*, 47 Md. App. 1, 5 (1981). Where "the testimony sought to be elicited [is] irrelevant to the issues" in the case, enforcing subpoenas would serve "no useful purpose, but instead would only [waste] the resources of the State." *Id.* at 8-9. To

that end, Rule 4-266 permits a party for good cause shown to move to quash a subpoena when justice requires protecting the person subpoenaed from "annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense." Rule 4-266(c). "[T]he Maryland Rules were, after all, established to promote—not to impede—the orderly and efficient administration of justice." *Darby*, 47 Md. App. at 8.

- 3. Assessing the requirements of justice in enforcing a subpoena, thus, turns on the relevance of the compelled testimony or documentary production. To be deemed relevant, the evidence must have a "tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence." Rule 5-401. A "consequential fact" is also called a "material proposition," and "[m]ateriality looks to the relation between the proposition for which the evidence is offered and the issues in the case." *Smith v. State*, 423 Md. 573, 590 (2011). Even when evidence is relevant, it "may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence." Rule 5-403.
- 4. Applying these principles, the subpoena that the Defendant issued to Ms. Paugh unquestionably should be quashed. First, Ms. Paugh has had no involvement in the investigation of this case, she is not part of the trial team, and so she could offer no relevant testimony regarding Mr. Gray's arrest and in-custody death. Second, the Defendant served the subpoena to Ms. Paugh in conjunction with a subpoena duces tecum served on Ms. Paugh's supervisor, Assistant State's Attorney Patrick Motsay. That subpoena compels Mr. Motsay to appear on May 10 and produce and permit

inspection and copying of "[alll records of all cases reviewed by the SAO at CBIF from January 1, 2015, through July 1, 2015, including the charges presented, the charges declined, and the charges that were forwarded for prosecution." Inferring that Ms. Paugh's testimony would be directed toward these documents or their subject-matter, evidence regarding six months of State's Attorney charging decisions would be completely irrelevant to the issues in this case. What competent and material testimony could Ms. Paugh offer in this regard as to whether the Defendant violated the duties of his office or acted reasonably toward Mr. Gray? The jury will consider the reasonableofficer standard, not the reasonable-prosecutor standard, and the duties of a Baltimore police officer, not the duties of a Baltimore prosecutor. Moreover, Ms. Paugh's testimony would trigger Rule 5-403's safeguards against such attempts to confuse the issues, mislead the jury, and waste time. Indeed, in addition to subpoeniing Ms. Paugh and Mr. Motsay, the Defendant has inexplicably subpoenaed five other Assistant State's Attorneys in the Charging Division, along with the chief of the Misdemeanor Jury Trial Division, making clear his intent to attempt the improper "defense ploy [] of trying the prosecutor." Johnson v. State, 23 Md. App. 131, 142 (1974). The subpoena served on Ms. Paugh, in short, is inappropriate, and its enforcement would frustrate the purpose of Rule 4-265.

Wherefore, the State requests that this Court quash the subpoena duces tecum issued to Assistant State's Attorney Jessica Paugh for the May 10, 2016, trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Michael Schatzow (#717876)
Chief Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6011 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
mschatzow@stattorney.org

Janice L. Bledsoe (#68776)
Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6012 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
jbledsoe@stattorney.org

Matthew Pillion (#653491)
Assistant State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6045 (telephone)
(443) 984-6252 (facsimile)
mpillion@stattorney.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of April, 2016, a copy of the foregoing State's Motion to Quash was delivered by hand and email to the Defendant's counsel at:

Marc L. Zayon
Roland Walker & Marc L. Zayon, P.A.
201 N. Charles Street, Suite 1700
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
(410) 727-3710
mzayon@walkerzayon.com
Attorney for Officer Edward Nero

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn J. Mosby

Janice I. Bledsoe (#68776)
Deputy State's Attorney
120 East Baltimore Street
The SunTrust Bank Building
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(443) 984-6012 (telephone)
(443) 984-6256 (facsimile)
jbledsoe@stattorney.org

STATE OF MARYLAND						*	* IN THE						
						*		CIR	CUIT (COURT	FOR		
	v.					*		BAL	TIMO	RE CIT	Y		
						*							
EDV	VARD :	NERO				*		CAS	E No.	1151410)33		
						*							
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
					<u>(</u>	ORDER	1						
	Havi	ing cons	sidered	the Stat	e's Mot	tion to Ç	Quash t	he Subp	oena S	erved on	Assist	ant State's	S
Atto	rney Je	ssica P	augh ar	nd there	e being	good c	ause f	or the l	Motion	it is th	nis	day o	f
		, 2016,	by the	Circuit (Court fo	or Baltin	nore Ci	ity					
	ORI	DERED	that the	e subpo	ena ser	ved on A	Assista	nt State'	s Attor	ney Jess	sica Pau	igh for the	e
May	10, 201	6, trial	before t	this Cou	ırt be ar	nd hereb	y is Q l	UASHE	D.				
								Judg	e				-
								_		rt for Ba	ltimore	City	

Carried Car

CC-004 (Rev. 07/01/2015)

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY

100 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 Phone: (410) 333-3722 Maryland Relay call: 711

1111	Case No. 115141033
STATE OF MARYLAND	
or	
	vs. Edward Nero
Plaintiff	Defendant
TO: ASA Jessica Paugh	Issue Date: 04/06/2016
Name	Service Deadline: 60 days after Issue Date.
Office of the State's Attorney	SUBPOENA
Address 120 East Baltimore Street	
Address 2	
Baltimore, Maryland 21202	
City, County, State, Zip	
You are hereby compelled to appear at a 🛭	Court proceeding deposition at the following location:
Circuit Court for Baltimore City - 111 N. Cal	
Address of court or other location Baltimore, Maryland 21202	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
City, State, Zip	
I To testify in the above case, and/or	
To produce the following documents, ite	ems, and information, not privileged:
- 10 produce the following decombes, its	
To produce, permit inspection and copyi	ing of the following documents or other tangible items:
Edward Nero	requested issuance of this subpoena. Questions should be referred
Requested By Marc Zayon	201 North Charles Street Suite 1700
Name	Address
(410) 727-3710 or (410)302-0776	Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Phone	City, State, Zip
Special Massage, Please contact counsel to	confirm the exact date and time that your testimony will be needed.
Special Message.	<u> </u>
	CC :1: C :: C : d : d : d C : C : C : C : d : d
	of financial information, or information derived from financial records, the
	fies having taken all necessary steps to comply with the requirements of Md. Cod
Ann., Fin. Inst. §1-304 and any other ap	
	of medical records, the requestor of this subpoena hereby certifies having taken irrements of Md. Code. Ann., Health-Gen.§4-306 and any other applicable law.
	A Table 1 American State 1 American Stat
1. 40//	Lavinia G. Alexander, Clerk
Jamia Hall	Circuit Court for Baltimore City
	Circuit Court for Baltimore City
NOTICE:	AND THE AND THE FOR THE LINE TO ORIGINATIVE OUR POPULA
	MENT AND/OR FINE FOR FAILURE TO OBEY THIS SUBPOENA.
	time stated and any subsequent dates as directed by the court. ition and the party served is an organization, notice is hereby given that the organization
	ill testify on its behalf, pursuant to Rule 2-412(d).
	nore than 60 days after the date of issuance is prohibited.
ser ing or accompany to serve a suspection	RETURN OF SERVICE
certify that I delivered the original of this	
on the following date:	
	STATE'S
	EXHIBIT
	Signature Signature

Printed Name